

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/506,984	WIND ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael J. Feely	Art Unit 1712	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Michael J. Feely.

(3) _____.

(2) Loren D. Albin (Reg. No. 37,763).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102/103

Claims discussed:

14,15,23

Prior art documents discussed:

Noda et al. (US Pat. No. 5,840,384)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed a fix to antecedent basis issue in claims 14 and 15; Proposed making claim 23 dependent from claim 1 to overcome the prior art of record. Mr. Albin agreed to the proposed changes.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)